

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,420	09/17/2004	Robert W. Zehner	H-386 5419		
26245 DAVID J COL	7590 02/14/2007	EXAMINER			
E INK CORPO		HOLTON, STEVEN E			
733 CONCORD AVE CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-1002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CAMBRIDGE,	, 14111 02130-1002	2629			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	PAYS	. 02/14/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	ition No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711	,420 .	Z	ZEHNER ET AL.			
		Examin	er	1	Art Unit			
		Steven	E. Holton	2	2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover shee	et with the cor	respondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIDE OF THE PROPERTY	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMU event, however, ma will expire SIX (6) application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>17 Septembe</i>	r 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□								
6) 🗆								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		·					
	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer						
-			h)□ objected	I to by the Ex	aminer			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
				·		R 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
•								

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1, the "synchronized cut-off method"; paragraphs 62-75 and claims 1-17 and 34.

Species 2, the "high scan rate method"; paragraphs 75-78 and claims 18-33 and 35.

The species are independent or distinct because they describe two distinct methods of driving an electro-optic display device, one method involving synchronized driving pulses and the other method involving a high scan rate of driving pulses.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/711,420

Art Unit: 2629

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven E. Holton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven E. Holton Division 2629 February 8, 2007

AMR A. AWAD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ame Alma Anual